arXiv:hep-ph/0105330v2 11 Sep 2001

Moment–operator expansion for the two-meson, two-photon and fermion-antifermion states

A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich, V.N. Markov,
M.A. Matveev and A.V. Sarantsev
St. Petersburg Nuclear Physics Institute, 188300, Gatchina, Russia

Abstract

A complete set of formulae in terms of the moment–operator expansion is presented for the two-meson, two-photon and fermion-antifermion states that can be used in the analysis of scalar (S) and pseudoscalar (P) meson production in $p\bar{p}$ and $\gamma\gamma$ collisions: $p\bar{p} \to SS, PP, SP$ and $\gamma\gamma \to SS, PP, SP$. Method of a generalization of the formulae for amplitudes of multi-meson production in the two-stage processes of the type *(spin-j) resonance + meson → three mesons* is also discussed.

1 Introduction

The moment–operator expansion is a powerful tool for the study of the analytical structure of amplitudes, in particular, for the determination of the resonance amplitudes.

In this paper we present a full set of formulae which are necessary for the analysis of reactions $p\bar{p} \to \pi\pi$, $p\bar{p} \to \eta\eta$, $p\bar{p} \to \eta\eta'$, $p\bar{p} \to \pi f_0$ in flight, and we explain the way of generalization of the formulae for the analysis of reactions with the production of resonances with non-zero spin, such as $\pi\rho$, πf_2, $\pi\pi_2$, and so on.

The data obtained by Crystal Barrel Collaboration provide us with rich possibilities to study resonances in the mass region 1900-2400 MeV [1, 2]. The reconstruction of analytical meson amplitudes and definition of pole singularities are forced by the problem of classification of meson states, exotics included (glueballs, hybrids). The establishing of a complete set of meson states is a necessary step in understanding of Strong QCD, see e.g. [3, 4, 5, 6].

We give formulae for $\gamma\gamma$-states; our interest in these processes is stimulated by rich experimental information on $\gamma\gamma \to$ *hadrons* obtained at LEP (e.g. see [7, 8]).

Starting from early 1960s, the operator expansion was exploited for the amplitude analysis within the framework of dispersion–relation technique: in [9] the operator expansion was used for the calculation of rescattering processes in the reaction of three-particle production near threshold. A complete description of the method for non-relativistic kinematics was presented in [10].

The moment-operator expansion method is especially helpful for the investigation of particle spectra in the framework of multichannel K-matrix approach, or dispersion–relation N/D method. Within this method, the calculation of rescattering effects in multiparticle reactions is rather simple and straightforward.

For the two-fermion sector of nucleon-nucleon reactions, such as $NN \to NN$, $NN \to N\Delta$ and $\gamma d \to pn$, the relativistic operators have been constructed in [11, 12, 13, 14]. In [15] the operator expansion has been carried out for the reaction $p\bar{p} \to \pi\pi\pi$, and it was extended for the coupled-channel reactions $p\bar{p} \to \pi\pi\pi$, $p\bar{p} \to \eta\eta\pi$, $p\bar{p} \to K\bar{K}\pi$ in [16], within the framework of the N/D method.

One of the advantages of the operator expansion method is a possibility to take promptly into accont kinematical factors related to momenta of the incoming and outgoing particles. The account for kinematical factors is dictated by the necessity to investigate analytical structure of the amplitude near the production threshold of new particles. An alternative to the operator-expansion method consists in calculating kinematical factors in a standard expansion in spherical harmonics (see [17] as an example).

The moment-operator expansion has been recently used in the calculation of the radiative decays of scalar, tensor and vector mesons in the framework of double spectral integration technique [18, 19, 20].

First of all, we present here the angular–momentum operator $X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}(k)$ for the two spinless mesons (Section 2). In terms of these operators we construct the scattering amplitudes for the processes *two mesons* $\to$ *two mesons* and compare it with an amplitude for three-meson production in the two-stage process: *two mesons* $\to$ *(spin-j resonance)+meson* $\to$ *three mesons*. By comparing these two amplitudes one can clearly see the difference between our and Zemach's [10] approaches (or the approach with spherical harmonics, see e.g. [17]). Indeed, the description of the transition *two mesons* $\to$ *three mesons* in the approaches [10, 17] requires additional Lorentz boost, which is different for various regions of the three-particle Dalitz-plot, while one does not need such a boost in the relativistic covariant method presented here.

The angular–momentum operator for the two-fermion system, $Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}$, is constructed in Section 3. We consider in detail the amplitude of the reaction $p\bar{p} \to$*two spinless mesons*. Denote meson momenta as k_1, k_2 and fermion momenta as q_1, q_2. The amplitude with total angular momentum J has the following stucture:

$$\bar{\psi}(-q_2)Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}\psi(q_1)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}(k)A_{S,L,J}(s). \tag{1}$$

The operator $\bar{\psi}(-q_2)Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}\psi(q_1)$ refers to the $p\bar{p}$ state: here S is the total spin of fermions, $S = 0, 1$, and L is the angular momentum. The function $X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}(k)$ stands for the two-meson state operator: it is the angular–momentum operator which depends on relative momentum of mesons, $k = (k_1 - k_2)/2$. Partial–wave amplitude $A_{S,L,J}(s)$ is a function of the invariant energy squared, $s = P^2$, where $P = k_1 + k_2 = q_1 + q_2$.

To be illustrative, in Section 4 we present formulae which are helpful for the study of the reactions of $p\bar{p}$ annihilation, with the production of two spinless particles, $p\bar{p} \to SS$, PP, SP: these formulae were used in the analyses [1, 2].

For $\gamma\gamma$ states, the spin and angular–momentum operators are given in Section 5. We construct the even and odd operators and demonstrate the connection of spin operators with helicity amplitudes.

2 Angular–momentum operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}$ and the two-meson scattering amplitude

Here we construct the operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}(k)$ and describe its properties. Then we present the partial–wave amplitude in terms of the introduced operator expansion.

2.1 Operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}(k)$

The operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}(k)$ is constructed by using the relative momentum of mesons in the space orthogonal to the total momentum P:

$$k^\perp_\mu = k_\nu g^\perp_{\nu\mu}, \qquad g^\perp_{\nu\mu} = g_{\nu\mu} - \frac{P_\nu P_\mu}{s}. \tag{2}$$

In the centre-of-mass system, where $P = (P_0, \vec{P}) = (\sqrt{s}, 0)$, the vector $k^\perp$ is space-like: $k^\perp = (0, \vec{k})$. We determine the operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}(k)$ as symmetrical and traceless. It is easy to construct it for the lowest values of $L = 0, 1, 2, 3, 4$:

$$X^{(0)} = 1\ , \qquad X^{(1)}_\mu = k^\perp_\mu\ , \qquad X^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2} = \frac{3}{2}\left(k^\perp_{\mu_1}k^\perp_{\mu_2} - \frac{1}{3}\,k^2_\perp g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_2}\right), \tag{3}$$

$$X^{(3)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3} = \frac{5}{2}\left[k^\perp_{\mu_1}k^\perp_{\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_3} - \frac{k^2_\perp}{5}\left(g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_3} + g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_2} + g^\perp_{\mu_2\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_1}\right)\right],$$

$$X^{(4)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4} = \frac{35}{8}\left[k^\perp_{\mu_1}k^\perp_{\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_4}\right.$$

$$-\frac{k^2_\perp}{7}\left(g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_4} + g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_4} + g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_4}k^\perp_{\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_3} + g^\perp_{\mu_2\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_1}k^\perp_{\mu_4} + g^\perp_{\mu_2\mu_4}k^\perp_{\mu_1}k^\perp_{\mu_3} + g^\perp_{\mu_3\mu_4}k^\perp_{\mu_1}k^\perp_{\mu_2}\right)$$

$$\left.+\frac{k^4_\perp}{35}\left(g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_2}g^\perp_{\mu_3\mu_4} + g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_3}g^\perp_{\mu_2\mu_4} + g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_4}g^\perp_{\mu_2\mu_3}\right)\right]$$

Correspondingly, the generalization of $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}$ for $L > 1$ reads:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L} &= k^\perp_\alpha Z^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L,\alpha}\ , \\ Z^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L,\alpha} &= \frac{2L-1}{L^2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{L} X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_L} g^\perp_{\mu_i\alpha}\right. \\ &\qquad \left. -\frac{2}{2L-1}\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{L} g^\perp_{\mu_i\mu_j} X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_L\alpha}\right). \end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

It is seen that the operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}(k)$ constructed in accordance with (4) is symmetrical,

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_i\ldots\mu_j\ldots\mu_L} \;=\; X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_j\ldots\mu_i\ldots\mu_L}, \tag{5}$$

and it works in the space orthogonal to P:

$$P_{\mu_i}X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_i\ldots\mu_L} \;=\; 0\;. \tag{6}$$

The angular-momentum operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}$ is traceless over any two indices:

$$g_{\mu_i\mu_j}X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_i\ldots\mu_j\ldots\mu_L} \;=\; g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j}X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_i\ldots\mu_j\ldots\mu_L} \;=\; 0. \tag{7}$$

The tracelessness property given by (7) is obvious for the lowest–order operators entering (3), for example, $g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}X^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}=0$ (recall that $g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}=3$). Now let us demonstrate that, if $X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}$ is traceless, the operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}$ is traceless as well. The symmetry condition tells us that it would be enough to prove $g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_L}=0$. The latter is directly seen from the definition (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_L} \;&=\; k^{\perp}_{\alpha}\frac{2L-1}{L^2}\left(2X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_3\ldots\mu_L\alpha}-\frac{2}{2L-1}\left[2(L-2)X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_3\ldots\mu_L\alpha}+3X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_3\ldots\mu_L\alpha}\right]\right)\\
&=\; k^{\perp}_{\alpha}X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_3\ldots\mu_L\alpha}\;\frac{2L-1}{L^2}\left[2-\frac{2}{2L-1}\;(2L-1)\right] \;=\; 0\;.
\end{aligned}$$

The convolution equality reads:

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}k^{\perp}_{\mu_L}=k^2_{\perp}X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}\;. \tag{8}$$

It can be easily proven by the induction method. Indeed, one has for the lowest moments: $k^{\perp}_{\mu}X^{(1)}_{\mu}=k^2_{\perp}X^{(0)}$. Using $X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}k^{\perp}_{\mu_{L-1}}=k^2_{\perp}X^{(L-2)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-2}}$ as an input we obtain from (4):

$$\begin{aligned}
&X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}k^{\perp}_{\mu_L}=k^2_{\perp}\frac{2L-1}{L^2}\Bigg(X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}\frac{2L-3}{2L-1}\times\\
&\times\Bigg[\sum_{i=1}^{L-1}X^{(L-2)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{L-1}}k^{\perp}_{\mu_i}-\frac{2}{2L-3}\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{L-1}g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j}X^{(L-2)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_{L-1}\alpha}k^{\perp}_{\alpha}\Bigg]\Bigg)\\
&=k^2_{\perp}\frac{2L-1}{L^2}X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}\left[1+\frac{(L-1)^2}{2L-1}\right]=k^2_{\perp}X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}\;.
\end{aligned}$$

Using (8) we rewrite the recurrent equation (4) in the form:

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}=\frac{2L-1}{L^2}\sum_{i=1}^{L}k^{\perp}_{\mu_i}X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_L}-\frac{2k^2_{\perp}}{L^2}\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{L}g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j}X^{(L-2)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_L}\;. \tag{9}$$

Basing on this recurrent equation and taking into account the tracelessness of $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}$, one can write the normalization condition for the moment-L operator as follows:

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)=\alpha(L)k_\perp^{2L}\ ,\qquad \alpha(L)=\prod_{l=1}^{L}\frac{2l-1}{l}=\frac{(2L-1)!!}{L!}\ . \tag{10}$$

The iteration of equation (9) gives us the following expression for the operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}$:

$$\begin{aligned} X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)&=\frac{(2L-1)!!}{L!}\Big[k^\perp_{\mu_1}k^\perp_{\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_4}\ldots k^\perp_{\mu_L}- \\ &-\frac{k_\perp^2}{2L-1}\Big(g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_4}\ldots k^\perp_{\mu_L}+g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_3}k^\perp_{\mu_2}k^\perp_{\mu_4}\ldots k^\perp_{\mu_L}+\ldots\Big)+ \\ &+\frac{k_\perp^4}{(2L-1)(2L-3)}\Big(g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_2}g^\perp_{\mu_3\mu_4}k^\perp_{\mu_5}k^\perp_{\mu_6}\ldots k_{\mu_L}+g^\perp_{\mu_1\mu_2}g^\perp_{\mu_3\mu_5}k^\perp_{\mu_4}k^\perp_{\mu_6}\ldots k_{\mu_L}+\ldots\Big)+\ldots\Big]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Let us write one more useful equation,

$$k_\alpha X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)=\frac{L+1}{2L+1}X^{(L+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L\alpha}(k)+\frac{L}{2L+1}Z^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L,\alpha}(k)\,k^2\ , \tag{12}$$

which is a sum rule for angular momentum ($\vec{1}\oplus\vec{L}$ in terms of operators $X^{(1)}_\alpha(k^\perp)$ and $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k^\perp)$.

2.2 Projection operator

Let us introduce a projection operator $O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}$ for the partial wave with the angular momentum L. The operator is defined by the following relations:

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}\ =\ X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}(k)\ ,\qquad O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_L}\ O^{\alpha_1\ldots\alpha_L}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}\ =\ O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}\ . \tag{13}$$

For the sets of indices $\mu_1\ldots\mu_L$ and $\nu_1\ldots\nu_L$, the operator $\hat{O}$ has all the properties of the operator $\hat{X}^{(L)}$: it is symmetrical and traceless,

$$O^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_L}=O^{\mu_2\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_L}=O^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_2\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}\ ,\qquad O^{\mu_1\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\nu_2\ldots\nu_L}=O^{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}=0\ . \tag{14}$$

The projection operator $\hat{O}$ can be consructed as a product of the operators $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}(k)$ integrated over angular variables of the momentum $k^\perp$, so we have a convolution of the $(2L+1)$-dimensional vectors, which provide us an irreducible representation of Lorentz group in the $k^\perp/|k^\perp|$-space. So,

$$\xi(L)O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}=\frac{1}{k_\perp^{2L}}\int\frac{d\Omega}{4\pi}\ X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}(k)\ . \tag{15}$$

where $\xi(L)$ is a normalization factor fixed below. Using the definition of the projection operator $O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_n}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_n}$ as well as formulae (24) and (25), we obtain:

$$k_{\mu_1}\ldots k_{\mu_L}O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}\ =\frac{1}{\alpha(L)}X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}(k)\ . \tag{16}$$

This equation represents the basic property of the operator: it projects any L-index operator into partial–wave operator with the angular momentum L.

Multiplying equation (15) by the product $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)$ and $X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}(q)$, we get:

$$\xi(L)X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}(q)X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_L}(q) = q_\perp^{2L}\alpha^2(L)\int\limits_{-1}^{1}\frac{dz}{2}\,P_L^2(z)\ , \tag{17}$$

that gives the normalization constant in (15):

$$\xi(L)\ =\frac{\alpha(L)}{2L+1}=\frac{(2L-1)!!}{(2L+1)\cdot L!}\ . \tag{18}$$

Summation in the projection operator over upper and lower indices performed in (15) gives us the following reduction formula:

$$O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_{L-1}\mu_L}=\frac{2L+1}{2L-1}\,O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_{L-1}}\ . \tag{19}$$

Likewise, the summation over all indices gives:

$$O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}=2L+1\ , \tag{20}$$

that can be proven using formula (15). Indeed,

$$O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}=\frac{1}{k_\perp^{2L}\xi(L)}\int\frac{d\Omega}{4\pi}X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k)=\frac{\alpha(L)}{\xi(L)}=2L+1\ .$$

Basing on equation (16), one gets

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_{L-1}}=X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_{L-1}\mu_L}\ . \tag{21}$$

Generally, one can write:

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_i\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_L}O^{\mu_1\ldots\mu_i}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_i}=X^{(L)}_{\nu_1\ldots\nu_i\mu_{i+1}\mu_L}\ . \tag{22}$$

2.3 Four-point and five-point amplitudes for spinless particles

The four- and five-point amplitudes determine, correspondingly, the scattering amplitude *two particles → two particles* and the production amplitude *two particles → three particles.* The latter process is considered with the impact to study three-particle state produced in the two-stage reaction *(spin-j) resonance + particle → three particles.*

2.3.1 Scattering amplitude for spinless particles

The operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}$ is a constructive element of the considered here partial–wave scattering amplitude for spinless particles, of the type of $SS \to SS$, or $SP \to SP$, and so on. We denote relative momenta of particles before and after interaction as q and k, correspondingly. The structure of partial-wave amplitude with angular momentum $L = J$ is determined by the convolution of the operators $X^{(L)}(k)$ and $X^{(L)}(q)$:

$$A_L = a_L(s) X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k) X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q) \ . \tag{23}$$

Here $a_L(s)$ is the partial-wave amplitude which depends on the total energy squared only.

The convolution $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k) q^{\perp}_{\mu_1} \ldots q^{\perp}_{\mu_L}$ can be written in terms of Legendre polynomials $P_L(z)$:

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k) q^{\perp}_{\mu_1} \ldots q^{\perp}_{\mu_L} = \left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^L P_L(z) \ , \qquad z = \frac{(k^\perp q^\perp)}{\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\sqrt{q^2_\perp}} \ . \tag{24}$$

In the centre-of-mass system, one has $(k^\perp q^\perp) = -(\vec{k}^\perp \vec{q}^\perp)$ and $\sqrt{k^2_\perp} = \sqrt{-\vec{k}^2_\perp} = i|\vec{k}_\perp|$, $\sqrt{q^2_\perp} = i|\vec{q}_\perp|$. For the low-$L$ moments, the formula (24) is obvious, see Eq. (3). For arbitrary L, equation (24) is proven by using (9): within a definition (24), equation (9) can be re-written as follows:

$$P_L(z) = \frac{2L-1}{L} z P_{L-1}(z) - \frac{L-1}{L} P_{L-2}(z),$$

that is a well-known recurrent equation for the Legendre polynomials. The convolution of the operators $X^{(L)}(k)$ and $X^{(L)}(q)$ reads:

$$X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(k) X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q) = \alpha(L) \left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^L P_L(z) \ , \tag{25}$$

where $\alpha(L)$ is determined by (10). In the centre-of-mass system $z = \cos\Theta$, and we have standard formulae for the partial-wave expansion of the scattering amplitude.

The unitarity condition for $a_L(s)$, which is fixed by the amplitude normalization, is determined as follows:

$$\mathrm{Im}\; a_L(s) = \rho(s)\alpha(L)|k_\perp|^{2L}|a_L(s)|^2 + \textit{contribution of inelastic channels} \ . \tag{26}$$

In the right-hand side of (26), only the contribution of the elastic channel is written explicitly, and $\rho(s)$ is the phase space of scattered particles in this channel (recall that for the scattering process $k^2_\perp = q^2_\perp$).

2.3.2 Three-particle production amplitude with resonance in the intermediate state

The five-point amplitude for the production of three spinless particles, $SS \to SSS$ or $SS \to SPP$, etc., can be also represented in terms of operators $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}$. Let us consider here an example of the three particle production amplitude when two final-state mesons are produced through the decay of the resonance with spin j. To be definite, we consider the simplest case of vector resonance, with $j = 1$, and point out the way of generalization for $j > 1$. To consider the simplest case, $j = 1$, is in fact sufficient to trace the difference between three-dimensional approach of Zemach [10] and the method developed here.

For the two-stage reaction, of the type $SS \to VS \to PPS$, we denote the particle momenta in the initial and final states, correspondingly, as q_1, q_2 and k_1, k_2, k_3; the vector resonance (V) is produced in the channel $1+2$ with the total momentum $p_{12} = k_1 + k_2$ and relative momentum for the decay products $k_{12} = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2)$. Then the amplitude in the partial wave L reads:

$$a_{L,l=L+1}(s,s_{12})X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)X^{(L+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L\alpha}(k_3^\perp)X^{(1)}_\alpha(k_{12}^\perp) \tag{27}$$

$$+a_{L,l=L-1}(s,s_{12})X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)X^{(L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}}(k_3^\perp)X^{(1)}_{\mu_L}(k_{12}^\perp)\,,$$

where $k^\perp_{12\mu}$ is orthogonal to to $p_{12} = k_1 + k_2$,

$$k^\perp_{12\mu} = \left(g_{\mu\mu'} - \frac{p_{12\mu}p_{12\mu'}}{s_{12}}\right)k_{12\mu'}\ , \tag{28}$$

$p_{12}^2 = s_{12}$, and the momentum $k_3^\perp$ is orthogonal to the total momentum $P = k_1 + k_2 + k_3$,

$$k^\perp_{3\mu} = \left(g_{\mu\mu'} - \frac{P_\mu P_{\mu'}}{P^2}\right)k_{3\mu'}\,. \tag{29}$$

The amplitude with $l = L$ is forbidden for the processes of the type $SS \to PPS$ considered in (27). On the contrary, when in the initial and final states, like $SS \to VP \to SSP$, the total particle parity changes, one has the transition with $l = L$:

$$a_{L,l=L}(s,s_{12})X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}\alpha}(k_3^\perp)X^{(1)}_\beta(k_{12}^\perp)P_\gamma\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu_L} \tag{30}$$

where $\epsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu_L}$ is the totally antisymmetrical four-tensor.

Comparing the amplitudes of Eqs. (23) and (27), or (30), one can see the common and different features in three-dimensional approach of Zemach [10] and covariant method developed here. For the scattering amplitude (23) used in the centre-of-mass frame, the expressions used in both approaches coincide. Indeed, the four-momenta $k^\perp_\mu$ and $q^\perp_\mu$ have space-like components only, $k^\perp_\mu = (0,\vec{k})$ and $q^\perp_\mu = (0,\vec{q})$, so the operators $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L(k)}$ and $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)$ turn into Zemach's operators. However, for the amplitude (27) a simultaneous equality to zero of operators with zero components is impossible. In [10] a special procedure was suggested for such cases, namely, the operator is treated in its own centre-of-mass frame, with subsequent Lorentz boost to a needed frame. But in the procedure developed in this paper these additional manipulations are unnecessary.

The Lorentz boost should be also carried upon the three-particle production amplitude considered in terms of spherical wave functions as well as in its version suggested by [17].

The generalization for a higher resonance is obvious: for the tensor resonance, $j = 2$, in the reaction $SS \to TS \to PPS$, instead of two terms in (27) one has three terms for the partial-wave amplitude:

$$a_{L,l=L+2}(s,s_{12})X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)X^{(L+2)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L\alpha_1\alpha_2}(k_3^\perp)X^{(2)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2}(k_{12}^\perp) \tag{31}$$

$$+a_{L,l=L}(s,s_{12})X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}\alpha}(k_3^\perp)X^{(2)}_{\alpha\mu_L}(k_{12}^\perp)\,.$$

$$+a_{L,l=L-2}(s,s_{12})X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)X^{(L-2)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-2}}(k_3^\perp)X^{(2)}_{\mu_{L-1}\mu_L}(k_{12}^\perp)\ ,$$

In the analysis of Crysal Barrel data [2], the reactions of the proton-antiproton annihilation, of the type $p\bar{p} \to resonance + P \to PPP$, are studied. Formally, the re-writing of the above-given formulae for the case of the $p\bar{p}$ initial state is simple: one needs to replace in the amplitudes the momentum operator $X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q)$ by that for the $p\bar{p}$ state introduced in (1). A detailed discussion of this operator is given in the next Section.

3 Angular-momentum operators for fermion-antifermion system

Here we consider a fermion-antifermion system, the fermion momenta being q_1 and q_2. The partial-wave vertex for this system with the total angular momentum J, orbital momentum L and total spin S is determined by bilinear form $\bar{\psi}(-q_2)Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}\psi(q_1)$ where $\bar{\psi}(-q_2)$ and $\psi(q_1)$ are bispinors and $Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}$ is the fermion partial-wave operator. This latter operator should be constructed with the use of the orbital-momentum operator $X^{(L)}$ and spin operator for fermion-antifermion system.

We have two fermion spin states, $S = 0$ and $S = 1$. For the spin-0 state $J = L$, and for the spin-1 state one has $J = L-1, L, L+1$.

For fermion operator $Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}$, one implies the same constraints as for the boson one given by formulae (5), (6) and (7): the fermion operator should be symmetrical, P-orthogonal and traceless:

$$Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J} = Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_2\mu_1\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}\ , \qquad P_\mu Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J} = 0\ , \qquad g_{\mu_i\mu_k}Q^{(S,L,J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J} = 0\ . \tag{32}$$

The spin-0 operator for fermion-antifermion system, $\Gamma^{(0)}$, is proportional to the γ_5-matrix. We normalize $\Gamma^{(0)}$ by the condition:

$$\mathrm{Sp}\ \Big(\Gamma^{(0)}(m_1+\hat{q}_1)\Gamma^{(0)}(m_2-\hat{q}_2)\Big) = 1\ , \tag{33}$$

that gives

$$\Gamma^{(0)} = \frac{i\ \gamma_5}{\sqrt{2[s-(m_1-m_2)^2]}}\ , \tag{34}$$

where m_1 and m_2 are fermion masses. @@@ The angular–momentum operator for the spin-0 state is a product of $\Gamma^{(0)}$ and the angular–momentum operator $X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(q)$:

$$Q^{(0,L,J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_{J-1}\mu_J}(q) = \Gamma^{(0)} X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(q) \ . \tag{35}$$

The spin-1 operator is constructed as follows:

$$\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha = \frac{\gamma_\beta}{\sqrt{2[s-(m_1-m_2)^2]}}\left(g^\perp_{\beta\alpha} - \frac{4s\ q^\perp_\beta q^\perp_\alpha}{[(m_1+m_2)^2+(m_1+m_2)\sqrt{s}\ ][s-(m_1-m_2)^2]}\right) . \tag{36}$$

For equal masses, $m_1 = m_2 = m$, the spin-1 operator reads:

$$\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}}\left(\gamma^\perp_\alpha - \frac{\hat{q}q_\alpha}{m(m+\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2})}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2s}}\left(\gamma^\perp_\alpha - \frac{q_\alpha}{m+\frac{\sqrt{s}}{2}}\right) \ . \tag{37}$$

Here we have used that

$$\hat{q} = \frac{\hat{k}_1 - \hat{k}_2}{2} = m \ . \tag{38}$$

The operator $\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha$ is orthogonal to the total momentum P,

$$P_\alpha \Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha = 0 \ , \tag{39}$$

and normalized by the condition:

$$\mathrm{Sp}\ \left(\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha (m_1+\hat{q}_1)\Gamma^{(1)}_\beta (m_2-\hat{q}_2)\right) = g^\perp_{\alpha\beta} \ . \tag{40}$$

The operators $\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha$ and $\Gamma^{(0)}$ are orthogonal to each other in the spin space:

$$\mathrm{Sp}\ \left(\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha (m_1+\hat{q}_1)\Gamma^{(0)} (m_2-\hat{q}_2)\right) = 0 \ . \tag{41}$$

It means that, if initial fermions are not polarized, the spin-0 and spin-1 states do not interfere with each other in the differential cross section.

The spin-1 state with $J = L-1$ is constructed from the operator $\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha$ and angular–momentum operator $X^{(J+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J\mu_{J+1}}$. It carries J indices, so two indices, one from $\Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha$ and another from $X^{(J+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J\mu_{J+1}}$, are to be absorbed, that can be done with the help of the metric tensor $g_{\alpha\mu_{J+1}}$:

$$Q^{(1,L,J=L-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(q) = \Gamma^{(1)}_\alpha X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{L-1}\alpha}(q) \ . \tag{42}$$

For $J = L$, the construction of the operator $Q^{(1,L,J=L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}$ is performed by using antisymmetrical tensor $\varepsilon_{\mu\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}$: the operator $\Gamma_\nu X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ldots\mu_J}$ must have the same number of indices as the angular–momentum operator. The only possible non-zero combination of antisymmetrical tensor, operators $X^{(J)}$ and $\Gamma^{(1)}$ is given by the following convolution:

$$\varepsilon_{\mu_1\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3} P_{\nu_1} \Gamma^{(1)}_{\nu_2} X^{(J)}_{\nu_3\mu_2\ldots\mu_J}(q) \ . \tag{43}$$

However, the operator entering equation (43), being P-orthogonal and traceless, is not symmetrical. The symmetrization can be performed by using the tensor $Z^{(J-1)}_{\nu\mu_2\ldots\mu_J,\alpha}$ instead of $X^{(J)}_{\nu\mu_2\ldots\mu_J}$. In this way, we get:

$$Q^{(1,L,J=L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(q)=\varepsilon_{\alpha\nu_1\nu_2\nu_3}P_{\nu_1}\Gamma^{(1)}_{\nu_2}Z^{(J)}_{\nu_3\mu_1\ldots\mu_J,\alpha}(q)\ . \tag{44}$$

Following the same procedure, we can easily construct the operator for the total angular momentum $J=L+1$. One has:

$$Q^{(1,L,J=L+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(q)=\Gamma^{(1)}_{\alpha}Z^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J,\alpha}(q)\ . \tag{45}$$

4 Amplitude for the fermion-antifermion annihilation into two spinless mesons

The partial–wave amplitude for the $p\bar{p}$-annihilation into scalar (S) and pseudoscalar (P) mesons ($p\bar{p}\to SS,\ PP,\ SP$) is given in a general form by formula (1). To be illustrative we present in this section the formulae which are helpful for the analysis of these reactions.

For the fermion-antifermion state with the total zero spin, $S=0$, the amplitude is determined by the following angle-dependent factor:

$$\Gamma^{(0)}X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(q)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k)=\Gamma^{(0)}\alpha(J)\Big(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\Big)^J\Big(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\Big)^J P_J(z)\ . \tag{46}$$

Recall that q is the relative momentum of fermions and k is that of bosons and $z=(k^\perp q^\perp)/(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\sqrt{q^2_\perp})$.

In the spin-1 case, when $L=J+1$, we have the following convolution:

$$X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k)X^{(J+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J\beta}(q)=\alpha(J)\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^J\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^{J+1}\left[A_J\frac{k^\perp_\beta}{\sqrt{k^2_\perp}}+B_J\frac{q^\perp_\beta}{\sqrt{q^2_\perp}}\right]\ , \tag{47}$$

A_J and B_J being the coefficients which depend on z only. They can be found by multiplying both sides of equation (47) by $q^\perp_\beta$ and $k^\perp_\beta$:

$$\alpha(J)\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^J\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^J\ q^2_\perp P_J(z)=\alpha(J)\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^J\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^{J+1}\left[A_J\frac{k^\perp q^\perp}{\sqrt{k^2_\perp}}+B_J\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right]\ ,$$

$$\alpha(J)\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^{J+1}\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^{J+1}\ P_{J+1}(z)=\alpha(J)\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^J\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^{J+1}\left[A_J\sqrt{k^2_\perp}+B_J\frac{k^\perp q^\perp}{\sqrt{q^2_\perp}}\right]\ .$$

One easily gets for A_J and B_J:

$$A_J=\frac{P_{J+1}(z)-z\,P_J(z)}{1-z^2}\ ,\qquad B_J=\frac{P_J(z)-zP_{J+1}(z)}{1-z^2}\ . \tag{48}$$

For the first partial waves, one has:

$$\begin{aligned} A_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}, \qquad A_2 = -z, \qquad A_3 = -\frac{3}{8}(5z^2-1), \\ B_1 &= \frac{3}{2}\,z\ , \quad B_2 = \tfrac{1}{2}(5z^2-1)\ , \quad B_3 = \frac{5}{8}(7z^2-3z)\ . \end{aligned} \tag{49}$$

For $L = J-1$, the angle-dependent factor contains the convolution of $Z^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J,\alpha}(q)$ and $X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k)$. It reads:

$$\begin{aligned} Z^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J,\alpha}(q)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k) &= \frac{2J-1}{J^2}\Big(\sum_{i=1}^{J} g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\alpha}X^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_J}(q) - \\ &-\frac{2}{2J-1}\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{J} g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j}X^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_J\alpha}(q)\Big)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k) \\ &= \alpha(J)\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^{J}\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^{J-1}\left[A_{J-1}\frac{q^\perp_\alpha}{\sqrt{q^2_\perp}} + B_{J-1}\frac{k^\perp_\alpha}{\sqrt{k^2_\perp}}\right]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{50}$$

The angular structure of the amplitude *fermion+antifermion* → *two mesons* when $L = J\pm 1$ is determined by the following factors:

$$\begin{aligned} ({}^3(J+1)_J \to J) &= \frac{1}{\alpha(J)}\frac{J+1}{2J+1}\Gamma_\alpha X^{(J+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J\alpha}(q)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k)\ , \\ ({}^3(J-1)_J \to J) &= \frac{1}{\alpha(J)}\frac{J}{2J+1}\Gamma_\alpha Z^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J,\alpha}(q)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k)\ , \end{aligned} \tag{51}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\beta X^{(J+1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J\beta}(q)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k) &= \Gamma_\beta\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^{J+1}\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^{J}\alpha(J)\left[A_J\frac{k^\perp_\beta}{\sqrt{k^2_\perp}} + B_J\frac{q^\perp_\beta}{\sqrt{q^2_\perp}}\right]\ , \\ \Gamma_\beta Z^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J,\beta}(q)X^{(J)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(k) &= \Gamma_\beta\left(\sqrt{q^2_\perp}\right)^{J-1}\left(\sqrt{k^2_\perp}\right)^{J}\alpha(J)\left[A_{J-1}\frac{q^\perp_\beta}{\sqrt{q^2_\perp}} + B_{J-1}\frac{k^\perp_\beta}{\sqrt{k^2_\perp}}\right]\ . \end{aligned} \tag{52}$$

The normalization of angular–momentum operators is a matter of convenience. To this aim we average the matrix element squared over fermion polarizations and angles of the boson system. We have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle({}^3(J+1)_J \to J)^2\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2}(q^2_\perp)^{J+1}(k^2_\perp)^{J}\ \frac{J+1}{(2J+1)^2}\ , \\ \langle({}^3(J-1)_J \to J)^2\rangle &= -\frac{1}{2}(q^2_\perp)^{J-1}(k^2_\perp)^{J}\ \frac{J}{(2J+1)^2}\ . \end{aligned} \tag{53}$$

The normalization of matrix elements given by (51) can be easily checked in the centre-of-mass system, where the angle z has a simple definition (recall that in this system $\mathbf{k}^2 = -k^2_\perp$, $\mathbf{q}^2 = -q^2_\perp$).

The amplitudes for the transition from fermion states with $L = J \pm 1$ to the boson state J via Breit-Wigner resonance are equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} A(^3(J+1)_J \to J) &= \frac{J+1}{2J+1}\sqrt{q_\perp^2}\Gamma_\alpha \left[A_J \frac{k_\alpha^\perp}{\sqrt{k_\perp^2}} + B_J \frac{q_\alpha^\perp}{\sqrt{q_\perp^2}} \right] BW(J+1,J) \ , \qquad (54) \\ A(^3(J-1)_J \to J) &= \frac{J}{2J+1}\frac{1}{\sqrt{q_\perp^2}}\Gamma_\alpha \left[A_{J-1} \frac{q_\alpha^\perp}{\sqrt{q_\perp^2}} + B_{J-1} \frac{k_\alpha^\perp}{\sqrt{k_\perp^2}} \right] BW(J-1,J) \ . \end{aligned}$$

Here the kinematical factors $q_\perp^2$, $k_\perp^2$ are included into the definition of the Breit-Wigner amplitude BW as well as coupling constants of the resonance decaying into two-fermion and two-boson channels:

$$\begin{aligned} BW(J+1,J) &= \frac{(\sqrt{q_\perp^2})^J(\sqrt{k_\perp^2})^J}{F_{J+1}(q_\perp^2)F_J(k_\perp^2)} \frac{C_{J+1}C}{M^2 - s - i\rho M\Gamma} \ , \\ BW(J-1,J) &= \frac{(\sqrt{q_\perp^2})^J(\sqrt{k_\perp^2})^J}{F_{J-1}(q_\perp^2)F_J(k_\perp^2)} \frac{C_{J-1}C}{M^2 - s - i\rho M\Gamma} \ . \qquad (55) \end{aligned}$$

Here F are the form factors, C is the coupling constant of the resonance to boson state, and C_{J+1} and C_{J-1} are the couplings to fermion states, with $L = J + 1$ and $L = J - 1$.

The complete amplitude for the transition $fermion + antifermion \to boson\ states$ is equal to the sum of partial–wave amplitudes:

$$A_{tot} = \sqrt{q_\perp^2}\Gamma_\alpha \left[\tilde{V}_1 \frac{q_\alpha^\perp}{\sqrt{q_\perp^2}} + \tilde{V}_2 \frac{k_\alpha^\perp}{\sqrt{k_\perp^2}} \right] \ , \qquad (56)$$

where:

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{V}_1 &= \sum_{J=0}^{n} \frac{1}{2J+1} \Big[J\ A_{J-1} BW(J-1,J) \frac{1}{q_\perp^2} + B_J (J+1) BW(J+1,J) \Big] \ , \\ \tilde{V}_2 &= \sum_{J=1}^{n} \frac{1}{2J+1} \Big[J\ B_{J-1} BW(J-1,J) \frac{1}{q_\perp^2} + A_J (J+1) BW(J+1,J) \Big] \ . \qquad (57) \end{aligned}$$

Generalization of the formulae (55)–(57) for the case, when partial wave amplitude describes several resonances and cerain background, is obvious; one should substitute:

$$BW \to \sum_a BW_a + background \ . \qquad (58)$$

Consider the case when one fermion with fixed momentum interacts with another fermion producing by annihilation the boson states (e.g. $\bar{p}p \to \pi^+\pi^-$, $\pi^0\pi^0$, $\eta\eta$, and so on). If fermions are not polarized, the cross section of such a process is equal to the amplitude squared multiplied by the phase volume of final particles. We have for the amplitude squared:

$$|A_{tot}|^2 = \frac{-q_\perp^2}{2} \Big[|\tilde{V}_1|^2 + |\tilde{V}_2|^2 + z(\tilde{V}_1\tilde{V}_2^* + \tilde{V}_1^*\tilde{V}_2) \Big] \ . \qquad (59)$$

Sometimes it is convenient to use the other functions:

$$V_1 = \tilde{V}_1 + z\tilde{V}_2, \qquad\qquad V_2 = \sqrt{1-z^2}\,\tilde{V}_2 \ . \tag{60}$$

Here the amplitude squared has rather simple form:

$$|A_{tot}|^2 = \frac{-q_\perp^2}{2}\Big[|V_1|^2 + |V_2|^2\Big] \ . \tag{61}$$

In the next section we will show that the amplitudes V_1 and V_2 are connected with the helicity amplitudes.

The polarized target fermion

Consider the case, when one of initial fermions is polarized (the reaction $pp \to \pi^+\pi^-$, with polarized target proton, was studied in [21]). The fermion loop diagram for this case is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} N &= -\frac{1}{2}\mathrm{Sp}\left[\Gamma_\mu(m-\hat{q}_1)(1-\gamma_5\hat{S})\Gamma_\nu(m+q_2)\right] \\ &= -\frac{1}{2}\Delta_{\mu\alpha}\Delta_{\nu\beta}Sp\left[\gamma_\mu(m-\hat{q}_1)(1-\gamma_5\hat{S})\gamma_\nu(m+q_2)\right] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{62}$$

Here $\hat{S} = S_\mu\gamma_\mu$ where S_μ is the polarization vector. After simple calculations we obtain:

$$N = -\frac{1}{2}g^\perp_{\mu\nu} - i\frac{mS_\alpha P_\xi}{s}\left[\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\xi} + \frac{2q_\beta}{m(2m+\sqrt{s})}(q_\nu\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\mu\xi} - q_\mu\varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\nu\xi})\right] . \tag{63}$$

The amplitude squared is equal to:

$$\begin{aligned} |A_{tot}|^2 &= -\frac{q^2}{2}\Big[\tilde{V}_1^2 + \tilde{V}_2^2 + z(\tilde{V}_1\tilde{V}_2^* - \tilde{V}_1^*\tilde{V}_2) + \\ &+ i\frac{m\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\xi}S_\alpha q_\mu k_\beta P_\xi}{s\sqrt{q_\perp^2}\sqrt{k_\perp^2}}(\tilde{V}_1\tilde{V}_2^* - \tilde{V}_1^*\tilde{V}_2)(1 - Xq_\perp^2)\Big] \ . \end{aligned} \tag{64}$$

After simple calculations we get the final expression in terms of the V_i amplitudes:

$$|A_{tot}|^2 = |A_{tot}^{unpolirized}|^2 - \frac{q_\perp^2}{\sqrt{s}}\frac{\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\xi}S_\alpha q_\mu k_\nu P_\xi}{\sqrt{q_\perp^2}\sqrt{k_\perp^2}}\,\mathrm{Im}(\tilde{V}_1\tilde{V}_2^*) \tag{65}$$

As an example, let us calculate the case when polarization vector is directed along the y-axis:

$$S = (0;0,1,0)\ , \qquad P = (\sqrt{s};0,0,0)\ , \qquad q = (q_0;0,0,|\vec{q}|)\ . \tag{66}$$

Then

$$\varepsilon_{\alpha\mu\nu\xi}S_\alpha q_\mu k_\nu P_\xi = -|\vec{q}||\vec{k}|\sqrt{1-z^2}\cos\Phi\ , \tag{67}$$

where Φ is the angle between the x-axis and the projection of $\mathbf{k}$ on the x,y-plane. Then we have the following expression for the amplitude squared:

$$|A_{tot}|^2 = -\frac{q_\perp^2}{2}\left[V_1^2 + V_2^2 + 2\,\mathrm{Im}(V_1V_2^*)\cos\Phi\right]\ . \tag{68}$$

5 Operators for the two-photon states

Similarly to the two-meson and fermion-antifermion states, let us denote the moment operator for the two–photon state as

$$G^{(J)}_{\mu_1\mu_2\ ....\mu_J}(q_1,q_2)\ , \tag{69}$$

where q_1 and q_2 are the photon momenta and $q_1^2=q_2^2=0$. For the reaction $\gamma(q_1)\gamma(q_2)\to$ *hadrons* this operator should be convoluted with relevant hadronic moment operator.

There is a specific feature in the construction of moment operator for the $\gamma(q_1)\gamma(q_2)$ state that is a consequence of gauge invariance: the photon is polarized in the plane orthogonal to the collision plane of photons. For example, if photons collide along the z-axis, the photon polarizations lie in the plane (x,y). This requirement reduces the set of allowed states; that is clearly seen by using the state $J=0$ as an example.

The state $J=0$ is constructed as follows:

$$G^{(0)}(q_1,q_2)=\epsilon^{(1)}_\alpha S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}\epsilon^{(2)}_\beta\equiv S^{(0)}\ , \tag{70}$$

where $\epsilon^{(i)}$ is the photon polarization, with $(\epsilon^{(i)}q_i)=0$. The moment operator $S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}$ has the following structure:

$$S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}\sim g^{\perp}_{\alpha\beta}+B(q_\alpha q_\beta-\frac{1}{3}g^{\perp}_{\alpha\beta}q^2)\ . \tag{71}$$

The first term presents $(S=0,L=0)$ state and the second one gives the $(S=2,L=2)$ state, while B is a constant which should be found from gauge requirement. The gauge constraint tells: after convoluting (71) with $q_{1\alpha}$ (or $q_{2\beta}$), it should be equal zero:

$$q^{\perp}_{1\beta}+B\left[(q_1q)q_\beta-\frac{1}{3}q^{\perp}_{1\beta}q^2\right]=0\ . \tag{72}$$

As a result we find $B=-((q_1q)-1/3\ q^2)^{-1}=-3/(2q^2)$, and equation (71) gives $S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}\sim g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha\beta}$ where

$$g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha\beta}=g_{\alpha\beta}-(q_{1\alpha}q_{2\beta}+q_{2\alpha}q_{1\beta})\frac{1}{(q_1q_2)}\ . \tag{73}$$

We define

$$S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}=g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha\beta}\ , \tag{74}$$

Sometimes it is more convenient to rewrite $g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha\beta}$ in the terms of the photon total and relative momenta, $P=q_1+q_2$ and q:

$$g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha\beta}=g_{\alpha\beta}-\frac{q_\alpha q_\beta}{q^2}-\frac{P_\alpha P_\beta}{P^2} \tag{75}$$

with

$$q_\mu = \frac{1}{2}(q_1 - q_2)_\nu g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu}, \qquad g^{\perp}_{\mu\nu} = g_{\mu\nu} - \frac{P_\mu P_\nu}{P^2} \ . \tag{76}$$

We see that the operator $S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}$ contains D-wave contribution, and it is a direct result of the gauge constraint. However the D-wave contribution turns into zero in $G^{(0)}(q_1, q_2) = S^{(0)}$, so the operator $S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}$ can be conventionally named the spin-0 operator.

Another operator, $S^{(2)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ ,\ \mu_1\mu_2}$, we construct by using the orthogonality requirement with respect to the spin-0 operator:

$$S^{(0)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} S^{(2)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ ,\ \mu_1\mu_2} = 0 \ . \tag{77}$$

The operator $S^{(2)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ ,\ \mu_1\mu_2}$ can be named, also conventionally, the spin-2 operator. We write:

$$S^{(2)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ ,\ \mu_1\mu_2} = g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_1\alpha_1} g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_2\alpha_2} + g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_1\alpha_2} g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_2\alpha_1} - g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2} g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} \ . \tag{78}$$

The state with $J = 2$, which corresponds to this operator, reads:

$$G^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}(q_1, q_2) = \epsilon^{(1)}_{\alpha_1} \epsilon^{(2)}_{\alpha_2} S^{(2)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ ,\ \mu_1\mu_2} \equiv S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2} \ . \tag{79}$$

All the remaining even-state operators we construct from the operators $S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta}$ and $S^{(2)}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2\ ,\ \mu_1\mu_2}$.

The tensor $g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha\beta}$ determines the completeness condition for the photon polarization vectors used for the cross section calculations. The completeness condition reads as

$$\sum_i \epsilon^{(a)}_\alpha(i) \epsilon^{(a)}_\beta(i) = g^{\perp\perp}_{\alpha\beta} \ . \tag{80}$$

5.1 Even-state operators, $P = +$

Now let us construct the operators of the even states with an arbitrary J. The orbital momentum of the photons should be also even: $L = 0, 2, 4, ...$: in this way we have the P-even states, $P = +$.

(i) Operators $S^{(0)} X^{(L)}_{\mu_1 ... \mu_L}(q)$

There are the following initial state operators which are built with use of $S^{(0)}$:

$$S^{(0)} X^{(L)}_{\mu_1 ... \mu_L}(q) \ , \tag{81}$$

or, being more definite,

$$\begin{array}{ll} 0^{++}: & S^{(0)} \\ 2^{++}: & S^{(0)} X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(q) \\ 4^{++}: & S^{(0)} X^{(4)}_{\mu\nu\eta\xi}(q) \end{array} \tag{82}$$

(ii) Operators $S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2} X^{(L)}_{\mu_3 ... \mu_{L+2}}(q)$

For construction operators with $S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}$ we use the product

$$S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}X^{(L)}_{\mu_3\dots\mu_{L+2}}(q)\ . \tag{83}$$

and expand it in a set of states with $J = L-2,\ L-1,\ L,\ L+1,\ L+2$. The simplest operator is that for $L = 0$:

$$2^{++}:\qquad S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}\ . \tag{84}$$

To clarify the situation with $L \neq 0$, let us consider the case $S = 2,\ L = 2$ in detail:

$$S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}X^{(2)}_{\mu_3\mu_4}(q)\ . \tag{85}$$

The 0^{++} state operator, being constucted as $S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}X^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}(q)$ is equal to zero:

$$\left(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_1}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_2}+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_1}-g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp})\right)\frac{3}{2}\left(q_{\mu_1}q_{\mu_2}-\frac{1}{3}g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}q^2\right)=0.$$

The 1^{++} state does not appears for the transverse polarized photons as well:

$$\varepsilon_{\mu\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(2)}_{\alpha_2\beta}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\beta}=\frac{3}{4}\left(\varepsilon_{\mu\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}(q_1\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp})-\varepsilon_{\mu\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}(q_2\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp})\right)=0\ .$$

The 2^{++} state operator, being constucted as $S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu}X^{(2)}_{\mu\mu_2}(q)$, gives $S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}$, up to a numerical factor:

$$S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu}X^{(2)}_{\mu\mu_2}(q)=\left(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_1}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu}+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_1}-g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_1\mu}(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp})\right)\left(q_{\mu}q_{\mu_2}-\frac{1}{3}g^{\perp}_{\mu\mu_2}q^2\right)=$$

$$-\frac{q^2}{2}\left(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_1}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_2}+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_1}-g^{\perp\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp})\right)\sim S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}\ .$$

So we do not need to use this convolution, it is taken into account in (84) as well.

The 3^{++} state operator is constructed as

$$3^{++}:\qquad \varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(2)}_{\alpha_2\mu_2}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\mu_3}\ ,$$

with subsequent symmetrization over indices μ_1, μ_2, μ_3 and making the operator traceless. One has for this operator:

$$\begin{aligned}3^{++}:\ \frac{3}{2}\Big[&\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_1}q_{\mu_2}\varepsilon_{\mu_3\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_1}q_{\mu_2}\varepsilon_{\mu_3\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\\ &+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_1}q_{\mu_3}\varepsilon_{\mu_2\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_1}q_{\mu_3}\varepsilon_{\mu_2\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\\ &+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_2}q_{\mu_1}\varepsilon_{\mu_3\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_2}q_{\mu_1}\varepsilon_{\mu_3\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\\ &+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_2}q_{\mu_3}\varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_2}q_{\mu_3}\varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\\ &+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_3}q_{\mu_1}\varepsilon_{\mu_2\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_3}q_{\mu_1}\varepsilon_{\mu_2\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\end{aligned} \tag{86}$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
&+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_3}q_{\mu_2}\varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_3}q_{\mu_2}\varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\\
&-\frac{2}{3}\Big(g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}\Big(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\lambda}q_{\mu_3}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\lambda}q_{\mu_3\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\\
&\qquad+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_3}q_{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_3}q_{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\Big)\\
&+g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_3}\Big(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\lambda}q_{\mu_2}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\lambda}q_{\mu_2\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\\
&\qquad+\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_2}q_{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_2}q_{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\Big)\\
&+g^{\perp}_{\mu_2\mu_3}\Big(\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\lambda}q_{\mu_1}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\lambda}q_{\mu_1\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\\
&\qquad\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\mu_1}q_{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\alpha_3}+\epsilon^{(2)}_{\perp\mu_1}q_{\lambda}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}q_{\alpha_2}\epsilon^{(1)}_{\perp\alpha_3}\Big)\Big]\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

For the 4^{++} state, the operator reads as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
4^{++}:\qquad & S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2}X^{(2)}_{\mu_3\mu_4}(q)+S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_3}X^{(2)}_{\mu_2\mu_4}(q)+S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_4}X^{(2)}_{\mu_2\mu_3}(q) \qquad (87)\\
&-\frac{2}{3}\Big[g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}\Big(S^{(2)}_{\mu_3\lambda}X^{(2)}_{\lambda\mu_4}(q)+S^{(2)}_{\mu_4\lambda}X^{(2)}_{\lambda\mu_3}(q)\Big)+g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_3}\Big(S^{(2)}_{\mu_2\lambda}X^{(2)}_{\lambda\mu_4}(q)+S^{(2)}_{\mu_4\lambda}X^{(2)}_{\lambda\mu_2}(q)\Big)\\
&\qquad+g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_4}\Big(S^{(2)}_{\mu_2\lambda}X^{(2)}_{\lambda\mu_3}(q)+S^{(2)}_{\mu_3\lambda}X^{(2)}_{\lambda\mu_2}(q)\Big)\Big]\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

General formula for the $J=L-1$ state, after symmetrization over indices, reads:

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}\to\varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}+\\
&+\varepsilon_{\mu_2\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\mu_1\mu_3\mu_4\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}+\cdots+\varepsilon_{\mu_{L-1}\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\mu_1\mu_2\mu_3\cdots\mu_{L-2}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}-\\
&-\frac{2}{2L-1}\Big(g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\lambda\mu_3\mu_4\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}+g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_3}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\lambda\mu_2\mu_4\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}+\\
&\qquad+\cdots+g^{\perp}_{\mu_{L-2}\mu_{L-1}}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\lambda\mu_1\mu_2\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}\Big)\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

General formula for the $J=L$ state has the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\nu}X^{(L)}_{\nu\mu_2\mu_3\ldots\mu_L}(q)\to&\sum_{i=1}^{L}S^{(2)}_{\mu_i\nu}X^{(L)}_{\nu\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_L}(q) \qquad (88)\\
&-\frac{2}{2L-1}\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{L}g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j}S^{(2)}_{\lambda\nu}X^{(L)}_{\lambda\nu\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_L}(q)
\end{aligned}
$$

General formula for the $J=L+1$ state has the form:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varepsilon_{\mu_1\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\mu_2\mu_3\mu_4\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}\to&\sum_{i=1}^{L}\varepsilon_{\mu_i\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu} \qquad (89)\\
&-\frac{2}{2L-1}\sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{L}g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j}\varepsilon_{\lambda\alpha_1\alpha_2\alpha_3}P_{\alpha_1}X^{(L)}_{\alpha_2\nu\lambda\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\cdots\mu_{L-1}}(q)S^{(2)}_{\alpha_3\nu}\ .
\end{aligned}
$$

General formula for the $J = L + 2$ state has the form:

$$S^{(2)}_{\mu_1\mu_2} X^{(L)}_{\mu_3\mu_4\ldots\mu_{L+2}}(q) \to \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{L} S^{(2)}_{\mu_i\mu_j} X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_{L+2}}(q) \tag{90}$$

$$-\frac{2}{2L-1} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{L} g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j} \sum_{\substack{k=1\\ k\neq i\neq j}}^{L} S^{(2)}_{\mu_k\nu} X^{(L)}_{\nu\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_{k-1}\mu_{k+1}\ldots\mu_L}(q) \ .$$

5.2 Odd-state operators, $P = -$

The odd-spin operator, with $S = 1$, is determined as

$$\epsilon^{(1)}_{\alpha} \epsilon^{(2)}_{\beta} P_{\gamma} \varepsilon_{\alpha\beta\gamma\mu} \equiv S^{(1)}_{\mu} \ , \tag{91}$$

where $P_\mu = q_{1\mu} + q_{2\mu}$. This structure should be multiplied by $X^{(L)}$, with odd L:

$$S^{(1)}_{\mu} X^{(L)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_L}(q) \ . \tag{92}$$

This operator provides $J = L \pm 1$. The operator for $J = L - 1$ reads:

$$S^{(1)}_{\mu} X^{(J+1)}_{\mu\mu_1\ldots\mu_J}(q) \ . \tag{93}$$

It works for the states

$$0^{-+}(L=1), \quad 2^{-+}(L=3), \quad 4^{-+}(L=5), \ \ldots \tag{94}$$

Without symmetrization, the operator for $J = L + 1$ reads:

$$S^{(1)}_{\mu_1} X^{(J-1)}_{\mu_2\mu_3\ldots\mu_J}(q) - \frac{1}{3} g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2} S^{(1)}_{\mu} X^{(J-1)}_{\mu\mu_3\ldots\mu_J}(q) \tag{95}$$

where $g^{\perp}_{\mu_1\mu_2}$ is working in the space perpendicular to $p = q_1 + q_2$. Generally, one should symmetrize this expression as follows:

$$S^{(1)}_{\mu_1} X^{(J-1)}_{\mu_2\mu_3\ldots\mu_J}(q) \to \sum_{i=1}^{J} S^{(1)}_{\mu_i} X^{(J-1)}_{\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\mu_{J-1}}(q) \tag{96}$$

$$-\frac{2}{2J-3} \sum_{\substack{i,j=1\\ i<j}}^{J} g^{\perp}_{\mu_i\mu_j} S^{(1)}_{\lambda} X^{(J-1)}_{\lambda\mu_1\ldots\mu_{i-1}\mu_{i+1}\ldots\mu_{j-1}\mu_{j+1}\ldots\mu_J}(q) \ ,$$

that gives J terms. This operator is written for the states

$$2^{-+}(L=1), \quad 4^{-+}(L=3), \quad 6^{-+}(L=5), \ \ldots \tag{97}$$

The state with $J = L$ is not formed by the transverse polarised photons.

5.3 The example: reaction $\gamma\gamma \to 2^{++}$-resonance $\to \pi^0\pi^0$

To demonstrate the connection of the introduced operators with standard helicity technique, consider as an example the transition $\gamma\gamma \to 2^{++} - resonance \to \pi^0\pi^0$. Using the momenta q_1, q_2 for photons and k_1, k_2 for mesons, one has for the relative momenta and photon polarization vectors

$$q = \frac{1}{2}(q_1 - q_2) = (0, 0, 0, q_z), \qquad k = \frac{1}{2}(k_1 - k_2) = (0, k_x, k_y, k_z), \tag{98}$$

$$\epsilon = (0, \epsilon_x, \epsilon_y, 0) = (0, \cos\phi, \sin\phi, 0).$$

In the helicity basis

$$\epsilon = (0, \epsilon_+, \epsilon_-, 0), \tag{99}$$

$$\epsilon_+ = -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\epsilon_x + i\epsilon_y), \qquad \epsilon_- = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\epsilon_x - i\epsilon_y)\ .$$

The spin-dependent part of the amplitude with $H = 0$ reads:

$$\epsilon^{(1)}_\alpha \epsilon^{(2)}_\beta S^{(0)}_{\alpha\beta} X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(q) X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{9}{4} q^2 k^2 (\cos^2\theta - \frac{1}{3})(\epsilon^{(1)}_+ \epsilon^{(2)}_+ + \epsilon^{(1)}_- \epsilon^{(2)}_-). \tag{100}$$

For $H = 2$ one has:

$$\epsilon^{(1)}_\alpha \epsilon^{(2)}_\beta S^{(2)}_{\alpha\beta\,\mu\nu} X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(k). \tag{101}$$

Different components are written as follows:

$$\epsilon^{(1)}_+ \epsilon^{(2)}_+ S^{(2)}_{++\mu\nu} X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(k^\perp) = 0\ , \tag{102}$$

$$\epsilon^{(1)}_+ \epsilon^{(2)}_- S^{(2)}_{+-\mu\nu} X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{3}{2} k^2 (1 + 2\sin^2\phi - 2i\sin\phi\cos\phi) = \frac{3}{2} k^2 (1 - 2i\sin\phi\, e^{i\phi})\ ,$$

$$\epsilon^{(1)}_- \epsilon^{(2)}_+ S^{(2)}_{-+\mu\nu} X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(k) = \frac{3}{2} k^2 (1 + 2\sin^2\phi + 2i\sin\phi\cos\phi) = \frac{3}{2} k^2 (1 + 2i\sin\phi\, e^{-i\phi})\ ,$$

$$\epsilon^{(1)}_- \epsilon^{(2)}_- S^{(2)}_{--\mu\nu} X^{(2)}_{\mu\nu}(k) = 0.$$

6 Conclusion

We present a set of formulae for the angular–momentum and spin operators, which have been used in the study of the reactions $p\bar{p} \to SS, SP, PP$ [1, 2] as well as the moment–operator expansion for $\gamma\gamma$-states. At the present time, the combined analysis of partial-wave amplitudes for the processes $p\bar{p} \to hadrons$ and $\gamma\gamma \to hadrons$ is carried out on the basis of Crystal Barrel and L3 Collaboration data.

The angular–momentum and spin operator expansions are rather helpful for studying hadron form factors and radiative decay transitions.The recent applications of the operator expansion technique to the form factor processes can be found in papers [18, 19, 20].

Acknowledgement

We are grateful to D.V. Bugg, L.G. Dakhno, L. Montanet, V.A. Nikonov and B.S. Zou for stimulating discussions. The work was supported by the RFFI grants N 01-02-17861, N 01-02-17152 and N 00-15-96610.

References

[1] A.V. Anisovich, C.A. Baker, C.J. Batty et al., Phys. Lett. **B452**, 173 (1999); **B468**, 304 (1999); **B468**, 309 (1999).

[2] A.V. Anisovich, C.A. Baker, C.J. Batty et al., Phys. Lett. **B452**, 187 (1999); **B452**, 173 (1999); **B452**, 180 (1999); **B500**, 222 (2001); **B507**, 23 (2001).

[3] E. Klempt, *"Meson spectroscopy: glueballs, hybrids, and q anti-q mesons"*, hep-ex/0101031 (2001).

[4] L. Montanet, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **86**, 381 (2000).

[5] R. Ricken, M. Koll, D. Merten, B.Ch. Metsch, R.H. Petry, Eur. Phys. J. **A6**, 221 (2000).

[6] A.V. Anisovich, V.V.Anisovich, and A.V. Sarantsev, Phys. Rev. D **62**:051502 (2000).

[7] M.N. Kienzle-Focacci, *"Two-photon collisions at LEP"*, in *Proceedings of the VIIIth Blois Workshop*, Protvino, Russia, 28 June-2 July 1999, eds. V.A. Petrov, A.V. Prokudin, World Scientific, 2000.

[8] V.A. Schegelsky, *"$\gamma\gamma$ physics at LEP"*, Talk given in Open Session of HEP Division of PNPI: *On the Eve of the XXI Century*, 25-29 December 2000.

[9] V.V. Anisovich and L.G. Dakhno, JETP **44**, 198 (1963);
V.V. Anisovich and A.A. Anselm, UFN **88**, 287 (1965), [Sov. Phys. Uspekhi **88**, 177 (1966)].

[10] C. Zemach, Phys. Rev. **97**, B97 (1965); **97**, B109 (1965).

[11] A.V. Anisovich and A.V. Sarantsev, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **55**, 1200 (1992).

[12] V. V. Anisovich, M. N. Kobrinsky, D. I. Melikhov and A. V. Sarantsev, Nucl. Phys. A **544**, 747 (1992).

[13] V.V. Anisovich, D.V. Bugg and A.V. Sarantsev, Nucl. Phys. **A537**, 501 (1992).

[14] A.V. Anisovich and V.A. Sadovnikova, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. **55**, 1483 (1992); Eur. Phys. J. **A2**, 199 (1998).

[15] A.V. Anisovich, Phys. of Atomic Nuclei, **62**, 1412 (1999).

[16] A.V. Anisovich, *"Three-body dispersion-relation N/D equations for the coupled decay channels* $\bar{p}p$ *(*$J^{PC} = 0^{-+}$*)* $\rightarrow \pi^0\pi^0\pi^0$, $\eta\pi^0\pi^0$, $\eta\eta\pi^0$, $\bar{K}K\pi^0$*"*, Phys. Atom. Nuclei, in press.

[17] S.U. Chung, Phys. Rev. **D57**, 431 (1998).

[18] A. V.Anisovich, V. V.Anisovich, D. V. Bugg and V.A. Nikonov, Phys. Lett. B **456**, 80 (1999).

[19] A. V. Anisovich and V. V. Anisovich, Phys. Lett. B **467**, 289 (1999).

[20] A.V. Anisovich, V.V. Anisovich and V.A. Nikonov *"Radiative decays of basic scalar, vector and tensor mesons and determination of the P-wave* $q\bar{q}$ *multiplet"*, hep-ph/0108186 (2001).

[21] A. Hasan and D.V. Bugg, Phys. Lett. **B334** (1998) 215 (1994).